

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim Paxton [mailto:tim@synthesiscompany.com]
Sent: Friday, December 08, 2006 11:25 AM
To: McInerney, Lucy (ECY)
Subject: GP Fluoride Levels

lpeb461@ecy.wa.gov

Lucy McInerney
Washington Dept of Ecology

Dear Ms. Lucy McInerney:

Please submit this attached file for the public hearing record of
Whatcom Waterway Site- Bellingham Environmental Cleanup.
The images are in JPEG format.

Please find attached files showing testing done in 1995 by Lauck
Laboratories for GP Bellingham showing what appears to be high levels
of Fluoride 1.5mg/L contamination.

I believe that Dept of Ecology clean up plans should eliminate all
toxic

fluoride from these waterways and sediments.

Thank you.

Tim Paxton
Clean Water Alliance

Laucks

Testing Laboratories, Inc.

940 South Harney St., Seattle, WA 98108 (206) 767-5060 FAX (206) 767-5063

Chemistry, Microbiology, and Technical Services

CLIENT : Georgia Pacific Corp.

Certificate of Analysis

Work Order # 95-10-401

Continued From Above

TESTS PERFORMED AND RESULTS:

Analyte	Units	<u>01</u>
Fluoride (Method 300.0)	mg/L	1.5
Iron (Method 6010)	ug/L	880.
Lead (Method 6010)	ug/L	50. U
Magnesium (Method 6010)	ug/L	3500.
Manganese (Method 6010)	ug/L	270.
Mercury (Method 7470)	ug/L	0.2 U
Methylene Blue Active Sub	mg/L	0.08
Molybdenum (Method 6010)	ug/L	10. U
Nickel (Method 6010)	ug/L	20. U
Nitrate + Nitrite as N	mg/L	0.42
Organic Nitrogen as N	mg/L	8.4
Selenium (Method 7741)	ug/L	5. U
Silver (Method 6010)	ug/L	10. U
Sulfate (SO ₄)	mg/L	500.
Sulfide	mg/L	1. U
Sulfite as SO ₃	mg/L	1. U



This report is submitted for the exclusive use of the person, partnership, or corporation to whom it is addressed. Subsequent use of the name of this company or a member of its staff in connection with the advertising or sale of any product or process will be granted only on contract. This company accepts no responsibility except

From: Jonasson@aol.com [mailto:Jonasson@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, December 08, 2006 9:17 AM
To: lydiab@portofbellingham.com
Cc: McInerney, Lucy (ECY)
Subject: Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study

*Lydia Bennett, CCIM, CPM
Director of Real Estate
Port of Bellingham
1801 Roeder Avenue
Bellingham, WA 98227-1677
360-676-2500 ph / 360-671-6411 fax
lydiab@portofbellingham.com*

Regarding: Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study

Dear Lydia,

Thank you for meeting with us the other day regarding parking.

As to the subject of any clean-up of contaminated waterways near the GP plant in Bellingham I would like to see a practical and pragmatic approach to the matter. Of the options shown, I would favor # 6 as it includes manageable clean-up and then containment.

Should you need anything further from me, please let me know.

Regards,

Phyllis McKee

827 Blueberry Lane # 202

Bellingham, Washington

360-676-5278

From: Eric Hirst [mailto:EricHirst@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2006 6:11 PM
To: McInerney, Lucy (ECY)
Subject: Whatcom Waterway Cleanup

Dear Lucy,

Having read the article by Murphy Evans in this week's issue of the *Cascadia Weekly*, I am now convinced that the full cleanup envisioned in Alternative 7 is the preferred choice. Although its initial costs are higher than those for Alternative 6, it promises a much higher level of environmental quality, much less long-term monitoring, and a much lower risk that additional mitigation actions will be required at a later date. I, therefore, urge the Washington Department of Ecology to adopt Alternative 7, both for its long-term economic benefits and its environmental benefits.

Please include this email in the record of public comments on the planned cleanup of Whatcom Waterway in Bellingham.

Thank you.

Eric

Eric and Susan Hirst
1932 Rhododendron Way
Bellingham, WA 98229
☎ 360-656-6690 📧 EricHirst@comcast.net

-----Original Message-----

From: swild7@juno.com [mailto:swild7@juno.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2006 4:32 PM
To: McInerney, Lucy (ECY)
Subject: Whatcom Waterway

Dear Ms. McInerney;

I am writing as a Bellingham resident and voter to register my disapproval and chagrin at what I gather is the momentum toward a miminialist approach to cleanup efforts for the Whatcom Waterway and ASB.

I believe it would be negligently short-sighted and a great dis-service to future generations for us not to make major efforts to remove as much of the toxic sediments from these waters as we possibly can, now!

I urge the Department of Ecology to mandate Cleanup Alternative 7 which calls for removal of sediments from both the Waterway and the ASB. Not to do at least this much would, I believe, be environmentally dangerous and, in the long run, fiscally irresponsible.

Respectfull Yours,

Scott Wild

1242 St. Paul St.
Bellingham, WA 98229 USA
360-756-2180; swild7@juno.com

-----Original Message-----

From: dodd.guren@juno.com [mailto:dodd.guren@juno.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 07, 2006 8:46 AM
To: McInerney, Lucy (ECY)
Subject: re: Bellingham Bay Cleanup

Dear Lucy McInterney,

I wish to log my support of alt. 3 or alt. 7 of the proposed cleanup strategies. I object to the consideration of the Ports recommendations on the grounds that they signed an agreement with Georgia Pacific to not advocate for cleanup of the waterway, therefore their recommendations are not legitimate analysis of the situation. They also have a conflict of interest since they would be the recipient of revenue from their proposed marina. The public cost would be ongoing and potentially great for capping the toxins in place because of the need for monitoring and repairing the cap. The proposed marina does not benefit the environment or the general public.

Doug Dodd
2908 Cottonwood
Bellingham, Wa